CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service via Express Mail Label No. EL449702141US, addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 27, 2004.

Jøhn A. Hamilton Reg. No. 48,946

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): David R. Swenson Application Serial Number: N/A

Examiner: N/A
Group Art Unit: N/A

Filed: Herewith

METHOD OF AND APPARATUS FOR MEASUREMENT AND CONTROL OF A

GAS CLUSTER ION BEAM

PERKINS, SMITH & COHEN, LLP

One Beacon Street Boston, MA 02108 (617) 854-4000

To:

For:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement (Form PTO-1449) is submitted under 37 CFR 1.97(b).

REMARKS

Applicant submits herewith an Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(b). The following information is presented in the event that a call may be deemed desirable by the Examiner:

JOHN A. HAMILTON (617) 854-4000.

Respectfully submitted, David R. Swenson, Applicant

Dated: January 27, 2004

John A. Hamilton Reg. No. 48,946

Attorney for Applicant

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 11460-130

APPLICATION SERIAL NO.: N/A

GROUP ART UNIT: N/A

APPLICANT: David R. Swenson

EXAMINER: N/A

FILING DATE: Herewith

CONFIRMATION NO.: N/A

PRIORITY DATE: January 27, 2003

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS/SUB- CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	5,814,194	09/29/98	Deguchi et al.	204/192.1	
	2001/0054686	12/27/01	Torti et al.	250/288	
	2002/0036261*	03/28/02	Dykstra	250/287	07/13/01
	2002/0070361*	06/13/02	Mack et al.	250/505.1	07/13/01

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS/SUB- CLASS	TRANSI YES	ATION NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

_	
	<u> </u>

DATE CONSIDERED		

^{*} These patents are representative of the state of the art but published after or less than one year prior to Applicant's priority date. Therefore, if any such item is considered sufficiently relevant by the Examiner to the present invention, its possible "prior art" status against the present invention should be considered individually allowing for the prospect of swearing back or other priority determination.